

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/820,198	03/28/2001	Jackie R. Bickenbach	875.029US1	3833
21186	7590 05/07/2002			
SCHWEGM	IAN, LUNDBERG, WO	DESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 29	38	•	238 (17)	
MINNEAPO	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		LANKFORD JR, LEON B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	n
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-326 (Rev.	04-01) Office Action	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 7			
2) Notice 3) Inform J.S. Patent and Tra	04.045	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)			
Attachment(•					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
* 54	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
;	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priorit	y documents have been received				
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		n No.			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
'',''	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
10)L_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
	on Papers					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
i	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>28-38</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	=x рапе Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
3)						
2a)		s action is non-final.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 F	ebruary 2002 .				
- Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	minions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
A SH	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
	The MAILING DATE of this areas in	L Blaine Lankford	1651			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		09/820,198	BICKENBACH ET AL.			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			

Application/Control Number: 09/820,198

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of group I in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no undue burden. This is not found persuasive because the diverse nature of the subject matter requires different searches.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant's claims fail to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention as the claims do not set forth the actual steps or methodology of applicant's invention. Essential steps or experimental parameters are missing from the claims.

Please note that the language of a claim must make it clear what subject matter the claim encompasses to adequately delineate its "metes and bounds". See, e.g., the following decisions: In re Hammack, 427 F 2d. 1378, 1382, 166 USPQ 204, 208 (CCPA)

Application/Control Number: 09/820,198

Art Unit: 1651

1970); In re Venezia 530 F 2d. 956, 958, 189 USPQ 149, 151 (CCPA 1976); In re Goffe, 526 F 2d. 1393, 1397, 188 USPQ 131, 135 (CCPA 1975); In re Watson, 517 F 2d. 465, 477, 186 USPQ 11, 20 (CCPA 1975); In re Knowlton 481 F 2d. 1357, 1366, 178 USPQ 486, 492 (CCPA 1973).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15 & 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scadden(5827742) or Eriksson et al(5423778).

Applicant claims epidermal stem cells.

Scadden & Eriksson teach isolated epidermal stem cells. Applicant's methods may be novel however epidermal stem cells have been previously isolated and thus the claimed invention has been anticipated.

Note that MPEP § 706.3(e) states that:

"[w]hen the prior art discloses a product which reasonably appears to be either identical with or only slightly different than a product claimed in a product-by-process claim, a rejection based alternatively on either section 35 U.S.C. 102 or 35 U.S.C. 103 of the statute is appropriate. As a practical matter, the Patent and Trademark Office is not equipped to manufacture products by the myriad of processes put before it and then obtain prior art products and make physical comparisons therewith. A lesser burden of proof is required to make out a case of prima facie obviousness for product-by-process claims because of their peculiar nature than when a product is claimed in the conventional fashion. *In re*

Art Unit: 1651

Brown, 59 CCPA 1063, 173 USPQ 685 (1972); In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324 (CCPA1974)."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L Blaine Lankford whose telephone number is 308-2455. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 308-4743. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0196.

L Blaine Lankford Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

LBL May 6, 2002